

Strategies and Tools for Adaptive, Collaborative Water Management in the Era of Climate Change

David Marmorek, Marc Nelitz, Carol Murray, Lorne Greig, Jimena Eyzaguirre ESSA Technologies Ltd.

American Water Resources Association, Tysons Corner, Virginia







What is Adaptive Management (AM)?

a rigorous approach for designing and implementing management actions

to

maximize learning about critical uncertainties that affect decisions

while simultaneously

striving to meet multiple management objectives



Era of climate change

Era: a long and distinct period of history with a particular feature or characteristic.



What's the overlap between Adaptive Management and Climate Change Adaptation?





Oroville Dam, spillway damage, 2017

In a highly uncertain future, predict-thenact models are no longer appropriate

Calgary flood, 2013



Key Point 1: Climate change demands an AM Mindset...

Focus on uncertainties that have the most influence on decisions.

Isolate <u>complex</u> <u>issues</u> and use <u>'systems thinking'</u> to analyze them. Use collaborative processes for resolving uncertainties

 Commit to monitoring, learning, and adjusting actions – backed by organizational culture

Be clear about <u>objectives.</u> Implement contrasting interventions to test hypotheses and hasten learning



What does it take to keep the AM learning cycle moving forward, at different scales, under climate change?





A lot of gears!



Technical Gears	Institutional Gears
Rigorous AM science	Trust
Thorough experimental design	Leadership
Strong contrasts, replication	Executive Direction / Authority
Targeted monitoring, <i>rapid</i> evaluation, real time tools	Collaboration within/across agencies & stakeholders
Science boiled down for decision makers	Excellent Organizational Structure



Key point 2: Both AM and adapting to climate change gets harder as the spatial scale increases **TECHNICAL** [ability to create contrasts & replicates in management] DIFFICULT -**INSTITUTIONAL** [ability to find consensus among stakeholders] EASY **SMALL** LARGE PLANET FARMS **STREAM** BASINS BASINS EARTH REACHES XX NEIGHBOUR TOWNS → CITIES STATES \rightarrow -HOODS COUNTIES **COUNTRIES**

SPATIAL SCALE

Key point 3: Climate change brings both challenges & opportunities for AM & human adaptation

Challenges

Opportunities

Flows of very high magnitude / duration flood people, destroy restoration sites	Habitat created & hypotheses tested by floods; <i>may</i> lead to long overdue improvements in floodplain mgmt.
Long, severe droughts limit range of feasible management actions	Droughts force long overdue efforts at water conservation & management.
Historical flow record inapplicable to the future; GCM runs too coarse	Combine bottom-up and top-down approaches to climate risk assessment
Stochastic events can suddenly turn normal years into extreme years	Real-time tools for flow management can mitigate risks and impacts
Climate change makes already difficult problems even harder	Exploring extreme scenarios may lead to more creative mgmt. approaches









5 Recent River Basin AM projects (2000-2017)



Basin areas vary from 4,000 to 1,370,000 km² (2.5 orders of magnitude)



Russian River (4000 km²)

Recover endangered California coho and Chinook by restoring offchannel habitat in 6 miles of a tributary called Dry Creek









USGS 11465350 DRY C NR MOUTH NR HEALDSBURG CA



How the project weathered high water



Designed by Interfluve, Inc.



http://www.scwa.ca.gov/drycreek/



December High Water at Orsi property



Trinity River (7,500 km²)

Restore habitat forming processes in 40 miles of river to support salmon by channel rehabilitation, flow manipulation, adding gravel

Restoration strategy defined in a 2000 Record of Decision (ROD)





scent Cit

Water year flow allocations & strategies



Pattern and sequence of water years may be changing



Challenges with more variable climate

- Multiple dry years in a row:
 - Maintaining sufficient water in upstream reservoirs
 - Preventing riparian encroachment
 - Maintaining river temperatures
- Wet years:
 - More rain and less snow changes hydrologic pattern from ROD
 - Big storms have widened channel, reducing velocities at a given flow

2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
					Crit.								Crit.		
Dry	Normal	Wet	Wet	Wet	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry	Wet	Wet	Normal	Dry	Dry	Dry	Wet



Taking Advantage of Wet Years

Adapting to Dry Years





approximate flow = 14,000 cfs

Okanagan Basin (21,000 km²)

Recover Okanagan sockeye by flow management, habitat restoration, re-introduction into Skaha Lake and hatchery supplementation



The good news: 600% increase in sockeye



Kim Hyatt, DFO

The bad news (1): 2050 will be a lot drier

Cumulative Weekly Net Inflows - Okanagan Lake (millions m³) 600 1973 - 2006 **--** 2050 500 40+% reduction in total net inflow 400 300 200 Earlier peak Intensification of supply run-off (~ 4 weeks) limits with increased 100 water demands throughout summer 0 Apr-01 Apr-15 Apr-29 May-27 Jun-10 Jun-24 Jul-22 Jul-22 Aug-05 Aug-05 Aug-19 Sep-02 Sep-16 Sep-16 Sep-30 Oct-14 Mar-04 Mar-18 Oct-28 Nov-11 Nov-25 Feb-04 ⁼eb-18 Jec-09 Dec-23 Jan-07 Jan-21

The bad news (2): egg to smolt survival is projected to decrease by 44% by 2050



The bad news (3): record flooding in Okanagan Lake in May 2017

Okanagan Lake - Average GRefresh chart ?Vew hazard definition

Date	Okanagan Basin					
	Snowpack					
	(% of Normal)					
March 1	86%					
April 1	105%					
May 1	147%					
June 1	228%					

Vulnerability ranges for flooding in Okanagan Lake

Estimated

Okanagan work combines both bottom-up and topdown approaches to AM and climate adaptation

From Dessai and Hulme 2004

Platte Basin (221,000 km²)

Recover piping plovers, least terns and whooping cranes by increasing nesting and roosting habitats, adding water and sand

≊USGS

Missouri (1,370,000 km²)

Recover piping plovers, least terns and pallid sturgeon by building habitat and *possibly* changing flows

The challenge: meeting species needs <u>and</u> "human considerations"

Human Considerations

- 1) Navigation
- 2) Irrigation
- 3) Flood Control
- 4) Fish and Wildlife
- 5) Recreation
- 6) Water Quality
- 7) Water Supply
- 8) Agriculture
- 9) Conservation Districts
- 10) Waterway Industries
- 11) Major Tributaries
- 12) Thermal Power
- 13) Hydro power
- 14) At large/other interests, e.g. cultural and historic preservation
- 15) Local Government
- 16) Environmental/conservation organizations

2011 Flood on Missouri River

MRRIC: 75 stakeholders

But the 2011 flood created **lots** of Emergent Sandbar Habitat for bird nesting

Is there a usable decision space for creation of sandbar habitat in the Missouri River???

Magnitude of flow action

3 key points

- 1. Climate change demands an AM Mindset
- 2. Both AM and adapting to climate change gets harder as the spatial scale increases
- 3. Climate change brings challenges, but also opportunities for both AM and human adaptation

More information

http://essa.com/services/adaptive-management/

http://essa.com/climate-change-adaptation-risk-reduction/

- Marmorek, D.R. and C.N. Peters. 2001. Finding a PATH towards scientific collaboration: insights from the Columbia River Basin. Conservation Ecology 5(2): 8.
- **Greig et al.** 2013. Insight into Enabling Adaptive Management. Ecology and Society 18(3): 24
- **Nelitz et al.** 2013. Tools For Climate Change Vulnerability Assessments For Watersheds. <u>http://www.ccme.ca/files/Resources/water/climate_change/pn_1494_vat.pdf</u>
- **Murray et al.** 2015. Adaptive Management Today: A Practitioner's Perspective. Ch. 10 in Adaptive Management of Natural Resources in Theory and Practice (Allen, Garmestani and Smith (eds), Springer).
- **Fischenich et al.** 2016. Draft Science and Adaptive Management Plan for the Missouri River Recovery Program.